

The components and effectiveness of self-management interventions among adult type 2 diabetes patients in low-income countries: a systematic review

Arthur Kiconco, MPH¹*; Sarah Rine, MBBS, MPH¹; Kevin Folivi, BS¹; Becky Alford, MLIS²; Julia Dickson-Gomez, PhD¹

¹Institute for Health and Equity, Medical College of Wisconsin, Milwaukee, WI 53226, USA, ²MCW Libraries, Todd Wehr Library, Medical College of Wisconsin, Milwaukee, WI 53226, USA.

INTRODUCTION	Approaches and tools (ii)	Table 1: Studies and intervention characteristics						
			Participants		Intervention characteristics			
 Globally, over 537 million adults aged 20–79 years have diabetes, and the burden is predicted to rise to 			# IG/CG	Mean age IG/CG	Intervention description	Duration (Months)	Delivery	Retention % (IG/CG)
783 million by 2045 ¹ .		18	116/104	55/54	Six 1.5hr monthly Nurse-led DSME	9	Group	67/64
 In low-income countries (LICs), the prevalence of 	•Evidence synthesis: Narrative syntheses to describe intervention components and effects.	19	108/108	NA	Nutritional promotion; 3 weekly educational sessions.	6	Mixed	98.15/97.2
diabetes is projected to increase from 5.3%-54.9% by		20	108/108	NA	30–50 min education physical activity (PA) promotion.	6	Mixed	99.1/100
2045 ² , and there are significant gaps in care for chronic	•Methodological quality assessment: Two independent reviewers	21	38/38	49.4	Culturally tailored, family-supported, community-based SM education and support.	2	Dyads	100
conditions ³ .	using JBI standardized critical appraisal checklists ¹¹ .	22	6/6/6/6	NA	3 groups; Aerobic Exercise (AE) & Resistance Exercise (RE) i.e., AE, RE and Combined AE & RE.	4	Group	100
 This review focused on components and effectiveness 		23	42/38	51.0	Home-based self-monitoring of blood glucose kits & logbooks.	6	Group	90.5/92.1

of self-management (SM) interventions in controlling
blood glucose levels among adult patients with Type 2
Diabetes (T2D) in LICs.

METHODS

Review process

Briggs • We followed Joanna Institute (JBI) methodology for systematic reviews of effectiveness for this review.

Inclusion criteria

• Participants: Adults (18+ years) living with T2D in LICs (World Bank Classification of 2023)⁴.

•Interventions: Aligned with 14 categories of the PRISMS taxonomy⁵.

Self-management interventions •Comparators: compared to other interventions or usual care.

•Outcomes: Primary-HbA1C, Fasting blood glucose; Secondary-weight, BMI, waist circumference, lipid profile.

•Certainty of evidence: Two independent reviewers using Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation (GRADE) guidelines^{12,13, 14, 15,16}.

•GRADE guidelines used: Risk of bias¹², publication bias¹³, imprecision¹⁴, inconsistency¹⁵ and indirectness¹⁶.

•Reporting: Updated Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) 2020 guidelines.

R	ESULTS		Fasting
Databases searched:		20	PA (day Fasting
Medline=898 CINAHL=26		21	HbA1c
Global Index Medicus=26 PsycINFO=96 Scopus=954 Web of Science=216	Records removed before screening: Duplicate records removed (n =676) Records marked as duplicates by automation tools (n =17) Total=693	22	HbA1-c FBS (៣រួ
Cochrane library=573 Scientific Electronic Library Online Collections=1 Total =2799		23	HbA1c [?]
		24	HbA1c
Records screened (n=2106)	→Records excluded (n=2087)		Dietary
↓		25	HbA1c
Records sought for retrieval (n-19)	→Full version not retrievable (n=1)		
\downarrow		-	nt effect . * Ris
Reports assessed for eligibility (n=18)	Reports excluded: Ineligible outcome (n=2) Protocol (n=1)	Deviatior	۱.

76/75 5	52.5/53.9	3 courses community-based peer-led structured patient education.	12	Group	93.3/92.1
22/9/10 5	53 /55	Aerobic exercises training i.e., Low intensity (LEX), Vigorous intensity (VEX).	3	Group	100%

All interventions: used in-person communication except²³ were compared to usual care and high intensity programs except²⁵. Studies were done in Ethiopia^{18, 19, 20, 21, 22}, Rwanda²³, Mali²⁴ and Mozambique²⁵. Study designs were RCTs ^{21, 23, 24}, Quasi experiments^{19, 20, 22, 25} and Controlled Before and After¹⁸. **IG**=Intervention Group; **CG**=Control Group.

Study		Intervention	GRADE*	
ID	Outcome(s)	Intervention (s)	Comparison	
18	HbA1c Fasting Blood Sugar (mg/dl) [?]	↓2.88% (4.28) ↓27 ± 10	↓2.57% NA	Low
19	Dietary adherence [?] Fasting Blood Sugar (mg/dl) [?]	69.8% 24.5%	26.7% 15.2%	Very Low
20	PA (days and minutes/week) [?] Fasting Blood Sugar (mg/dl) [?]	3.12 ± 0.65) 168.76 ± 49.57 mg/dl	2.51 ± 0.52 182.40 ± 42.91	Low
21	HbA1c	$_{\downarrow}$ 1.1% from 8.9% to 7.8%	[↑] 0.6%	Low
22	HbA1-c (%) [•] FBS (mg/dl)	AEG/ REG/COM:-0.53/-0.58/-0.88 AEG/ REG/COM:-16.67/-19.83/-27	0.42±0.88 67±98.57	Very Low
23	HbA1c ^{^p}	_↓ 0.94%	[↑] 0.73%	Very Low
24	HbA1c Dietary practices	↓1.05 28.9%	↓0.15 28.0%	Low
25	HbA1c	Pre : 8.8 ± 0.5, Post 7.7 ± 0.4	Pre : 8.4 ± 0.9, Post 7.7 ± 0.8	Very Low

•Types of studies: Able to provide up to fair evidence as per hierarchy of evidence for healthcare interventions⁵.

Approaches and tools (i)

• Protocol registration: PROSEPERO (CRD42024507800).

"Population", •Search strategy: Based on "Intervention", "Outcome" and "Study design" criteria⁶.

•Study selection: Used EndNote X8 to manage citations and Rayyan⁷ for blinded title/abstract screening by three reviewers.

•Language and date: Restricted to publications in English language published by December 21st, 2023.

Ineligible population (n=4)	Discussion
Study design not suitable for effectiveness assessment (n=3)	

•Most of the interventions that led to significant glycemic control were those delivered to individuals or individuals and family members compared to those which were either mixed or group based.

• Five studies^{19-21, 24, 23} had a low risk of bias overall and the rest ^{18, 22, 25} had concerns for bias.

methodological quality, RCTs scored 9-10 out of 13, other studies (quasi experiments and •On controlled before and after) scored 7-9 out of 9.

Conclusion and Recommendations

•Self-management interventions focused on behavior change were effective in improving glycemic control among adults with type 2 diabetes.

•The few studies found in this review indicates a big gap in evidence on self-management interventions in LICs despite increasing T2D burden.

•This underscores the need to strengthen the evidence base for diabetes self-management through more rigorous study designs given the increasing burden of diabetes in LICs.

		Risk of bias							
	D1	D2	D3	D4	D5	D6	D7	D8	Overall
Diriba et al.[21]*	+	-	+	+	+				+
Ng'ang'a et al.[23]*	+	-	+	+	+				+
Debussche et al.[24]*	+	+	-	+	-				+
Hailu et al.[18]**		+	-	-	+	-	+	-	-
Eshete et al.[19] ı		+	+	-	+	X	+	+	+
Eshete et al.[20] ı		+	+	-	+	-	+	+	+
Mondal et al.[22]+		+	+	+	+	-	-	-	-
Huimin et al.[25] ı		+	+	+	+	-	-	+	-
	D1: Bias due to randomization D2: Bias due to deviations from intended interventions D3: Bias due to missing data D4: Bias in measurement of outcomes D5: Bias in selection of the reported result							Judgem Hiç - Un	

D6: Bias due to confounding

• Data extraction: Two independent reviewers using JBI standardized data extraction tool ⁸ . Figure 2: Summary of risk of bias of included studies. Key: Hquasi experiments; ** controlled before and after, *RCTS. Additional domains applied for other designs other than RCTS. Risk of Bias considered HbA1c for seven studies and FBS for Eshete et al. ¹⁹ . • Future studies should also consider other patient-outcomes and longer follow-up periods to assess sustainability of outcomes.				Figure 2: Summary of risk of bias of included studies. Key: +quasi experiments; ** controlled before and after, * RCTs. Addi	Additional	•Future studies should also consider other patient-outcomes and longer follow-up periods to assess sustainability of outcomes.	the
---	--	--	--	--	------------	--	-----

+ Low

Correspondence

Arthur Kiconco Institute for Health and Equity, Medical College of Wisconsin Email: akiconco@mcw.edu

References

- Magliano, D.J. and E.J. Boyko, IDF diabetes atlas. 2022.
- Saeedi, P., et al., Global and regional diabetes prevalence estimates for 2019 and projections for 2030 and 2045: Results from the International Diabetes Federation Diabetes Atlas, 9(th) edition. Diabetes Res Clin Pract, 2019. 157: p. 107843.

Studies included in the review (n=8)

Figure 1: PRISMA flow diagram¹⁷.

- Katende, D., et al., Readiness of Ugandan health services for the management of outpatients with chronic diseases. Trop Med Int Health, 2015. 20(10): p. 1385-95.
- Group, T.W.B. World Bank Country and Lending Groups. 2022 2022 [cited 2023 11/9/2023]; Available from: https://datahelpdesk.worldbank.org/knowledgebase/articles/906519-world-bank-country-and-lending-groups.
- Evans, D., Hierarchy of evidence: a framework for ranking evidehealthcare interventions. Journal of clinical nursing, 2003. 12(1): p. 77-84.
- Namatovu, S., et al., Interventions to reduce pedestrian road traffic injuries: A systematic review of randomized controlled trials, cluster randomized controlled trials, interrupted time-series, and controlled before-after studies. PLOS ONE, 2022. 17(1): p. e0262681.
- 7. Ouzzani, M., et al., Rayyan—a web and mobile app for systematic reviews. Systematic reviews, 2016. 5: p. 1-10.
- 8. Munn, Z., et al., The development of software to support multiple systematic review types: the Joanna Briggs Institute System for the Unified Management, Assessment and Review of Information (JBI SUMARI). Int J Evid
- Based Healthc, 2019. 17(1): p. 36-43.
- 9. Jonathan, A.C.S., et al., ROBINS-I: a tool for assessing risk of bias in non-randomised studies of interventions. BMJ, 2016. 355: p. i4919.
- 10. Julian, P.T.H., et al., The Cochrane Collaboration's tool for assessing risk of bias in randomised trials. BMJ, 2011. 343: p. d5928.
- 11. Tufanaru C, M.Z., Aromataris E, Campbell J, Hopp L., , Manual for Evidence Synthesis. , M.Z. Aromataris E, Editor. 2020, The Joanna Briggs Institute (JBI).
- 12. Guyatt, G.H., et al., GRADE guidelines: 4. Rating the quality of evidence—study limitations (risk of bias). Journal of clinical epidemiology, 2011. 64(4): p. 407-415.

13. Guyatt, G.H., et al., GRADE guidelines: 5. Rating the quality of evidence—publication bias. Journal of clinical epidemiology, 2011. 64(12): p. 1277-1282. 14. Guyatt, G.H., et al., GRADE guidelines 6. Rating the quality of evidence—imprecision. Journal of clinical epidemiology, 2011. 64(12): p. 1283-1293. 15. Guyatt, G.H., et al., GRADE guidelines: 7. Rating the quality of evidence—inconsistency. Journal of clinical epidemiology, 2011. 64(12): p. 1294-1302. 16. Guyatt, G.H., et al., GRADE guidelines: 8. Rating the quality of evidence—indirectness. Journal of clinical epidemiology, 2011. 64(12): p. 1303-1310.

- 17. PRISMA, PRISMA 2020 flow diagram for updated systematic reviews which included searches of databases, registers and other sources. 2020, PRISMA.
- 18. Hailu, F.B., P. Hjortdahl, and A. Moen, Nurse-Led Diabetes Self-Management Education Improves Clinical Parameters in Ethiopia. Frontiers in public health, 2018. 6: p. 302.
- 19. Eshete, A., et al., Effect of nutritional promotion intervention on dietary adherence among type II diabetes patients in North Shoa Zone Amhara Region: quasi-experimental study. Journal of health, population, and nutrition, 2023. 42(1): p. 49.
- 20. Eshete, A., et al., Effect of physical activity promotion program on adherence to physical exercise among patients with type II diabetes in North Shoa Zone Amhara region: a quasi-experimental study. BMC public health, 2023. 23(1): p. 709.
- 21. Diriba, D.C., L.K.P. Suen, and D.Y.P. Leung, Effects of a culturally tailored, family-supported, community-based self management education and support programme on clinical outcomes among adults with type 2 diabetes in Western Ethiopia: A pilot randomised controlled trial. Diabetic medicine : a journal of the British Diabetic Association, 2023. 40(8): p. e15094.
- 22. Mondal, S., et al., Effects of aerobic and resistance exercises on selected physiological biochemical and anthropometric variables among type 2 diabetic patients in dilla, Ethiopia. Indian journal of public health research and development, 2021. 12(3): p. 229-237.
- 13. Ng'ang'a, L., et al., Feasibility and effectiveness of self-monitoring of blood glucose among insulin-dependent patients with type 2 diabetes: open randomized control trial in three rural districts in Rwanda. BMC Endocr Disord, 2022. 22(1): p. 244. 14. Debussche, X., et al., Structured peer-led diabetes self-management and support in a low-income country: The ST2EP randomized controlled trial in Mali. PloS one, 2018. 13(1): p. e0191262.
- 15. Huimin, Y., et al., Effect of Aerobic Training on Glucose Control and Blood Pressure in T2DDM East African Males. ISRN endocrinology, 2014: p. 1-6.